[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 16 September 2026 09:54
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a concerned and anxious member of the public (a grandmother) to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I request that the planning permission is refused.

As a grandmother, and retired teacher with 40 years service, I have concerns about the way in which this is being rushed through without due diligence and any real discussion with the neighbouring villages. Is the evidence submitted with the application complete? How suitable is the site? How appropriate is it to put such a large number together in such a place?

Given the use of this site, have the necessary investigations and tests been carried out to confirm it is safe? Previously, land-quality tests identified potential ground contamination issues. What investigations and actions have taken place to address these issues as well as the concerns with drainage and the risk of flooding?

The site is in an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance. There are no pedestrian footpaths and there are numerous accidents on the busy road every year with its hidden dips and corners. With such a vast number set to reside here, how will that impact local villages?

The short representation time of this application (almost none) does not allow sufficient time for people like me, the councils that serve us and the specialists to properly examine this application and allay fears. It is unreasonable to expect us to read such a lengthy application in such a short a time. At the very least, the consultation period should be extended to address this and the concerns raised.

It is worth remembering that a previous, much smaller proposal for an asylum centre on this site was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before it was subsequently cancelled.

As nothing has changed on site, I do not believe this is a suitable location for a development of this scale and I don't believe that the applicant has proven otherwise. Consequently, I ask that the application is refused.

I look after my grandchildren, including completing the school run past this site. They live in a neighbouring village less than ten minutes away. What safeguards will be put in place to ensure their safety and protect their current way of life?

If the Secretary of State approves the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

Sent from Outlook for Android